



Yes, World Climate Summits are a real show!

It cannot be otherwise considering their premises: agreements shall not harm commercial interests of the parties!

It is clear that with the above bottom line there is no place for hope. But why then thousands of activists from all over the world keep making huge efforts to attend these Summits other than to make a testimonial that are in opposition to those interests for which the most part is criminal?

I discovered that many activists keep going to these shows in spite of their personal trouble because of what happens backstage, where necessary contact with all the heroes of silent fights that nourish mind and soul, are a source of inspiration and commitment. The only difference of the Paris Show, as with other Climate Shows, was the militarization of the event with the declaration of the emergency state laws after the terrorist attacks at the beginning of November. These in turn were made more invisible with civil society initiatives.

This being said, what is really worrisome for the consequences, is the fact that the vast majority of grassroots movements are ignorant of geoengineering, even though it constitutes the single major threat to the life on earth at present. This fact surely relates to the sources of financing their activities axed on the climate change official doctrine heavily subsidised. Placing themselves out of this line of thought means not having income for their activities. But do they ask themselves who are we working for in the last instance?

The core message of International Marches against Climate Change

Organizing those huge international marches all over the world against climate change, disregarding ongoing geoengineering, is what our political representatives need to overtly claim it. Popular pressure to stop climate change without the express vindication to stop climate manipulation will have the following political answer.- We have gone very far on CO2 emissions against our will, even cutting them down to zero will do nothing in terms of cooling the planet, so geoengineering is now the only possible answer- . As a matter of fact, the agreements of the Summit leave in real practice no other way out. One day all these ONGs will have to face their social responsibility for their lack of will to research climate engineering and take valiant action.

The situation being as it is, the few activists opposing geoengineering were obliged to attend the Paris Summit knowing it to be in a cross fire situation. Anyway, we found a way to give a conference titled *Civil Society vs. Geoengineering*, in the frame of 'The Peoples Summit'.



The Official Climate Summit

The 4th of December, our first operative day in Paris, we realized how the logistics of the Summits' organization resembled the actual picture in real life. The clear separation of the two worlds was explicit. The Official site of the Summit was in the *Bourget*, 15 km North-East of Paris. The entrance hall was preceded by an impressive set up of 196 white columns with the coloured flags of all participating countries.


Here there was a blue zone for exclusive official use and impossible to trespass. A Green zone, one kilometre from the Blue Zone, for prominent NGOs and all sorts of lobbies which came



right from the blue zone. Mainly nuclear, coal, agro and biotechnologies with the only exception to this separation was a programmed manifestation of 10 minutes in the Bourget by the very active group Stop fracking from Dakota and other world places.

The Peoples Summit

This summit, organized by Coalition Climate 21, was conveniently separated and dispersed from the Bourget installations with the main meeting point in *Montreuil*, where we could find *Le Village Global des Alternatives*. This settlement showed the most joyful aspect of the event with world food, folklore and creative proposals for survival. Close by, the *Jean Jaures Lycee* sheltered all kinds of conferences and documentaries from morning to night during the 5th and 6th of December. There we gave our conference with a fair number of participants, despite the enormous



offer of conferences at the same time. Is just fair to highly value the organizational efforts of Coalition Climate 21 and the always pleasant and reliable assistance of volunteers. The overall feeling was a sharing festive humanity crossroads!

The International Rights of Nature Tribunal

The main reason for Guardacielos / Skyguards to be there was to participate in the International Rights of Nature Tribunal, the 4th and 5th December, taking place in the unique and historical site of *La Maison des Metallos* in the 11th Parisian District. The idea was to meet most prominent grass roots movements in general and in particular the participants from Ecuador, mainly Alberto Acosta, Ex-president of the Constitutional Tribunal of Ecuador. Why? This country together with Bolivia, have the rights of mother earth

inserted in their Constitutional Charter. Thus, we believe they could, through their Constitutional Court, present the issue of geoengineering to the International Criminal Court of The Hague, where only states can present demands for war crimes. He was open to the proposal and pointed out another possible venue: *The Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IDH Court)*. However, in spite of his initial good will, we haven't been able to advance on the subject due to the lack of response, so we keep searching for other actors in that direction.

The International Rights of Nature Tribunal event surpassed by far our expectations. Meeting indigenous people who really profess a cult of emotional ties and respect for mother earth was more than enriching. In western cultures this deep relationship with nature is lost. Our activism is more intellectually built, a sound reason for its actual decadence... These people undertaking impossible battles against the extracting industries destroying their countries are real heroes, paying with their lives in the defence of their territory. In fact they are more than heroes, they are our lost conscience, the remains of the ancient guardians of earth, and we owe them tribute for that. We are very grateful to them and nothing of what we can say would replace their testimonies that can be listened to in this link: <http://therightsofnature.org/>



Police overreaction compromised the freedom of speech rights'

Meanwhile, riots were taking place in some parts of Paris where people had been arrested. The hysteria of unjustified arresting was one of the main features of this Summit. For example, the two participants of Guardacielos / Skyguards were temporarily arrested for taking pictures showing their own posters which read "Climate is not crazy it is being manipulated" before the flags of countries engaging in geoengineering programs! Police was ordered after checking, to retain us and all our posters.

We placed a formal complaint and demanded to have our posters back. It took five hours to solve the problem, but we made the point. If police were ready to retain people for taking pictures (on the grounds that they were emitting moral judgements on the governments of countries represented by the flag), where lies the respect of civil rights, comprised the freedom of speech, from democratic countries like France?

The Agreements of the Paris Summit: a critical view

Going back to the agreements of the Summit, they constitute a severe setback of previous agreements. This is the picture:

1. Nations stated their commitment to reduce emissions, to do it in an equitable way, and **to not halt economic growth!** This is what we call pulling peoples' leg because even a kid can point out that the three points are contradictory. So the third one will take the lead. In other words nothing will change here in terms of cutting emissions.
2. Global average temperatures having risen by nearly 0.8 °C since the late 19th century, and rising at about 0.2 °C/decade over the past 25 years, the objective of the Summit was to reach the agreement of limiting the warming by 2020 within the 2°C or even make efforts to limit it at 1.5°C. However they fail to establish instruments of measure to prove that this objective is being reached and who is not up to the task. On the contrary the agreement offers to the nations a "facilitating, non punishing non intrusive frame"!

3. What does it mean in practical terms keeping the warming between 1.5°C and 2°C? It means that in the first place 137 million people would drown and in the second case 280 million people would drown. We have to add the estimated 250 million climatic refugees by 2050 as the ONU has made public.

4. **In this perspective is very revealing the withdrawal from the final agreement of the clauses concerning human rights!**

5. Business is business. The International Energy Agency estimates that to keep the balance of 2°C by 2020 it will take \$1,000 billion while the Paris Agreements talk of a contribution of \$100 billion per year from 2020 to help the affected countries. This figure is to be revised in 2025.

6. **The Paris Agreement is not binding!**



Considering just those six points we can define the Paris Agreement **as the biggest scam** ever in the history of Climate Summits under the auspices of the UN. No wonder the United States and Saudi Arabia clapped! Thus we cannot understand the euphoric declaration of Greenpeace, **“It has been a historical agreement!”** without questioning its honesty in regards to their role and supposed ecological objectives.

Money is the name of the game of climate change

If we have agreements to not halt economic growth it means that emissions will not be cut but on the contrary they will increase. Considering that agreements are not binding, the only way out to lower warming is geoengineering. The millions of millions of dollars will be spent on such climate manipulation programs. Environment, health and human rights have no place in these psychopathic plans. **Money** is the name of the game.

So, we can resume that the Paris Summit was not deemed to be the right moment for open political backing of geoengineering. And governments implicated in these criminal schemes will keep covert endorsement, while practicing deception and denial for fear of paying the political price. They need to win time and this plays in our favor.

Lately new scientific evidences of ongoing climate engineering are being published. These works will help to overcome the media ban for a serious global public debate. The scientist Marvin Herndon, from San Diego, California, shows that the dispersal in the troposphere of coal fly ash for the purpose of climate manipulation have the consequence of warming the climate with a serious threat for the earth biota including humans.

His latest study “Evidence of an Intentional Effort to Melt Glaciers and Hasten Global Warming” <http://nuclearplanet.com/cryo.pdf> joins precedent statements on the matter like the one made by Matt Andersson, former executive adviser, aerospace & defence, Booz Allen Hamilton, Chicago, in the Guardian back in 2012. www.guardian.co.uk/environment/2012/feb/09/at-war-over-geoengineering

Few in the civil sector fully understand that geoengineering is primarily a military science and has nothing to do with either cooling the planet or lowering carbon emissions (Report, 6 February). While seemingly fantastical, weather has been weaponized.

At least four countries – the US, Russia, China and Israel – possess the technology and organisation to regularly alter weather and geologic events for various military and black operations, which are tied to secondary objectives, including demographic, energy and agricultural resource management.

Indeed, warfare now includes the technological ability to induce, enhance or direct cyclonic events, earthquakes, drought and flooding. This includes the use of polymerised aerosol viral agents and radioactive particulates carried through global weather systems. Various themes in public debate, including global warming, have unfortunately been subsumed into much larger military and commercial objectives that have nothing to do with broad public environmental concerns. These include the gradual warming of polar regions to facilitate naval navigation and resource extraction.

The unexpected changes of climate change – Donald Trump

A year after the COP21, the world established agenda on climate change has been turned upside down with the coming to the political scenery of the new President of the United States, Donald Trump, who happens to negate climate warming. Expressing in addition his intention to withdraw its country from all the agreements reached on the issue.

This unexpected situation indeed breaks the dynamics of a long established predictable world order posing many relevant questions for geoengineering activism:

- If geoengineering had been conveniently disguised to justify the use of a military weapon to a society as a tool to counteract anthropogenic global warming, on what basis geoengineers and the myriad of correlated interests woven around the topic, will now continue their master plan?
- How countries engaged in geoengineering programs will justify the expenditure to solve a problem that now is considered not to exist by the same country that actively promoted the CO2 warming theory? Can climate change alarmists and global geoengineering plans afford a standby of 4 years assuming that Donald Trump will not be reelected?
- The new situation will have the major benefit of unveiling corrupt science and corrupt scientists, although in practice nothing will change for the victims of geoengineering in the world. - In other words, things will fall into their true realm, the next four years research will be more openly militarized and geoengineers will continue working for the gigantic military complex on covert geoengineering as usual.

The recent approval of President Trump of a military budget increased of 9% amounting to \$54.000 million, following a requested 2% increase in the military budget for NATO countries, leaves no doubt in regards to the future of geoengineering programs. In this context governmental and institutional liability, public debate and democratic scrutiny of climate manipulation will now be more difficult to attain yet. Thus knowing that military research with environmental impact falls under the secret mantra of national security, we predict an intense campaign to suppress civic rights in general, controlling alternative activist information sites in internet, which in turn will hopefully wake up the dormant anti-militarist movement in the world. But at least this will give us the chance of facing the undisguised Lernaean Hydra* in its natural state. - The only way out would be the public denouncement of one single country. Heracles.

* The Lernaean Hydra was a monster in Greek mythology. It had many heads and every time someone would cut off one of them, two more heads would grow out of the stump. It lived in the lake Lerna in the region of Argolid in the Peloponnese. Heracles, sent by the king of Tiryns, to slay the Lernaean Hydra destroyed her. - www.greekmythology.com

* Skyguards is an international platform comprising civic associations from 22 EU countries. This platform was created by the Spanish association Terra SOStenible to address climate manipulation/geoengineering issues and defend citizens' interests, at all possible levels: research, educational, corporate, institutional, political, legal, etc.

Within this perspective, Skyguards took the concerns of European civil society to the European Parliament on 8th and 9th April 2013 and filed a formal petition in May to demand an independent investigation of the facts. The Committee on Petitions accepted the demand in March 2014. However closed the affair in 2016, without any internal debate, based on a senseless Opinion of the European Commission that didn't consider the core of the question and discarded the legal frame under which the petition was made. Skyguards' objected to this closure. Arguments can be found in this link: www.skyguards-net.org

**THE CLIMATE
IS NOT CRAZY....
IT IS BEING
MANIPULATED!**

GEOENGINEERING!

CLIMATE SUMMIT, PARIS
www.guardacielos.org www.skyguards-net.org